Dept. of Information and Computing Sciences, Utrecht University

# **Generic Programming 2012**

# Solutions to the Final Exercise Set

## Sean Leather, Johan Jeuring

Sunday, 04 November, 2012

# **1** General Information

Read the following instructions and notes.

## 1.1 Instructions

- 1. Read through all of the exercises before starting, so that you have an overall idea of what is expected and how much time to plan for each exercise.
- 2. Create a file called <First><Last>4.lhs with <First> replaced by your first name (e.g. Alan) and <Last> replaced by your surname (e.g. Turing). Include your name and student number in comments.
- 3. Write your solution to each exercise in the file. Number the solutions in comments to match the exercise numbers.
- 4. Submit your file as an email attachment to leather@cs.uu.nl before the following deadline:

## 23:59 - Sunday, 11 November, 2012

## 1.2 Notes

- This exercise set contains exercises developed by your fellow classmates. It is not allowed to ask the developer of the exercise for hints.
- You may discuss the exercises amongst each other or with the lecturers at a conceptual level (in person, or via email), but you cannot copy or share solutions. All work should be your own.
- Direct your questions to both lecturers, in case one of them is not available to respond.

- Use the literate Haskell format for your submitted file. (Code follows > or goes between \begin{code} and \end{code} commands.) You don't need to do any other special formatting.
- Use GHC 7.4.\*. GHC 7.4.1 comes with Haskell Platform 2012.2.0.0. GHC 7.6.1 is also available, but be aware that you may encounter issues if you use a version different from others.
- All code should type-check when the file is loaded into GHCi.
- The maximum possible score for the exercise set is 10. Next to each exercise number is its maximum possible score in parentheses.

Good luck!

# 2 Exercises

1. (3) For this question, refer to the paper "Uniform Boilerplate and List Processing" and/or the presentation by Jaap van der Plas. Use the "uniplate" package.

Consider the following datatype:

#### data Expr

= Add Expr Expr | Let String Expr Expr | Val Int | Var String

a) (0.5) Define an instance of Expr for the Uniplate class by generating it (e.g. using the tool and Hackage package "derive") or by writing it yourself (e.g. adapting the instance of the similar datatype in the paper).

Solution. This is one possible instance:

```
\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{instance Uniplate Expr where} \\ \mbox{uniplate (Add e1 e2)} &= \mbox{plate Add} \, |*\, e1 \, |*\, e2 \\ \mbox{uniplate (Let s e1 e2)} &= \mbox{plate Let} \, |-\, s \, |*\, e1 \, |*\, e2 \\ \mbox{uniplate x} &= \mbox{plate x} \end{array}
```

b) (1.5) Define a function –

```
\mathsf{removeUnusedBinds} :: \mathsf{Expr} \to \mathsf{Expr}
```

- that removes unused binds from an expression. An unused bind is a (non-recursive)
 Let whose variable name (first argument) is not referenced by a Var in the body (third argument). For example, the expression (in concrete syntax) –

#### 1 + (**let** x = 1 **in** 2)

- should be transformed into the expression:

### 1 + 2

All operations that query or transform should be done with generic functions. **Solution.** This is one possible solution, using transform and universe :

c) (1) (Only attempt this part after successfully implementing part 1b.) Be sure that removeUnusedBinds properly handles shadowed bindings. The expression –

let x = 3 in (let x = 1 in 2 + x)

- should be transformed into:

let x = 1 in 2 + x

Note that x is shadowed by the inner binding, so the outer binding of x can be removed. Rewrite removeUnusedBinds if necessary.

**Solution.** The outer part of this solution is mostly unchanged from before. Below are two possible definitions of a function to determine if a variable is free in an expression.

```
removeUnusedBinds e = transform f e
   where
      f (Let v e1 e2) | isFree v e2 = Let v e1 e2
                          | otherwise = e2
                                       = e'
      f e'
\mathsf{isFree}::\mathsf{String}\to\mathsf{Expr}\to\mathsf{Bool}
isFree v = go
   where
      go::Expr 
ightarrow Bool
      go (Let v' e1 e2) | v \equiv v' = go e1
      go (Var v') |v \equiv v'| = True
                                      = or (map go (children e'))
      go e'
\mathsf{isFree}::\mathsf{String}\to\mathsf{Expr}\to\mathsf{Bool}
isFree v = para f
   where
      f :: Expr \rightarrow [Bool] \rightarrow Bool
      f \ (\text{Let} \ v' \ e1 \ e2) \ | \ v \equiv v' \ \ = \text{head}
      f (Var v') |v \equiv v'| = \text{const True}
      f e′
                                      = or
```

2. (4) For this question, refer to the paper "Primitive (Co)Recursion and Course-of-Value (Co)Iteration, Categorically" and/or the presentation by João Alpuim.

For each of the following functions -

- a) catamorphism (cata)
- b) anamorphism (ana)
- c) paramorphism (para)
- d) apomorphism (apo)
- do the following:
  - a) Give a brief description of the function and its relationship to the functions before it in the list (e.g. how anamorphism is related to catamorphism, not vice versa).
  - b) Define the function using the following incomplete definitions:

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{newtype Fix } f = ln \left\{ out :: f \left( Fix \, f \right) \right\} \\ (\&\&\&) :: (c \rightarrow a) \rightarrow (c \rightarrow b) \rightarrow c \rightarrow (a,b) \\ (|||) \qquad :: (a \rightarrow c) \rightarrow (b \rightarrow c) \rightarrow Either \, a \, b \rightarrow c \end{array}$ 

c) Give an example of the function's use, including any datatypes and type class instances needed. Note that due to the flexible nature of the notation used in this paper, the notation will not always match the function types you expect. For example, the combinators are used for both functor and non-functor types. Feel free to define the examples in a different way than given in the paper.

#### Solution.

We first define the basic combinators:

$$\begin{split} &f \&\&\& g = \lambda x \to (f x, g x) \\ &f ||| g = \lambda x \to \textbf{case} \times \textbf{of} \\ & Left \ y \to f \ y \\ & Right \ y \to g \ y \end{split}$$

For lists, we use the following:

data ListF a r = NiIF | ConsF a r type List a = Fix (ListF a) instance Functor (ListF a) where fmap \_ NiIF = NiIF fmap f (ConsF a r) = ConsF a (f r)

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{data NatF r} = \mbox{ZeroF} \mid \mbox{SuccF r} \\ \mbox{type Nat} = \mbox{Fix NatF} \\ \mbox{instance Functor NatF where} \\ \mbox{fmap} \_ \mbox{ZeroF} = \mbox{ZeroF} \\ \mbox{fmap f} (\mbox{SuccF r}) = \mbox{SuccF} (\mbox{f} r) \\ \mbox{zero'} = \mbox{In ZeroF} \\ \mbox{succ'} n = \mbox{In} (\mbox{SuccF} n) \end{array}$ 

The *catamorphism* is the natural recursion scheme of induction on an algebraic datatype. It is also called iteration.

```
\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{cata}::\mathsf{Functor}\ f \Rightarrow (f\ a \to a) \to \mathsf{Fix}\ f \to a\\ \mathsf{cata}\ f = f \circ \mathsf{fmap}\ (\mathsf{cata}\ f) \circ \mathsf{out}\\ (|-|):: \mathsf{c} \to (\mathsf{a} \to \mathsf{b} \to \mathsf{c}) \to \mathsf{ListF}\ a\ \mathsf{b} \to \mathsf{c}\\ \mathsf{f} |-|\ g = \lambda \mathsf{x} \to \mathbf{case}\ \mathsf{x}\ \mathbf{of}\\ \mathsf{NilF} \quad \to f\\ \mathsf{ConsF}\ a\ r \to g\ a\ r\\ \mathsf{sum}':: \mathsf{List}\ \mathsf{Integer} \to \mathsf{Integer}\\ \mathsf{sum}' = \mathsf{cata}\ (0 | - | (+)) \end{array}
```

The *anamorphism* is the natural corecursion scheme of coinduction on an coalgebraic codatatype. It is also called coiteration. It is the dual of the catamorphism.

```
ana :: Functor f \Rightarrow (a \rightarrow f a) \rightarrow a \rightarrow Fix f
ana f = In \circ fmap (ana f) \circ f
(><) :: (a \rightarrow c) \rightarrow (b \rightarrow d) \rightarrow (a,b) \rightarrow (c,d)
f >< g = (f \circ fst) \&\&\& (g \circ snd)
zip' :: (Fix ((,) c), Fix ((,) d)) \rightarrow Fix ((,) (c,d))
zip' = ana (((fst >< fst) \&\&\& (snd >< snd)) \circ (out >< out))
```

The *paramorphism* is the primitive recursion scheme. It allows functions to "eat the argument and keep it too." It is a generalization of the catamorphism.

```
para :: Functor f \Rightarrow (f (a, Fix f) \rightarrow a) \rightarrow Fix f \rightarrow a

para f = f \circ fmap (para f & & id) \circ out

-- Alternative

para :: Functor f \Rightarrow (f (a, Fix f) \rightarrow a) \rightarrow Fix f \rightarrow a

para f = fst \circ cata (f & & (In \circ fmap snd))

mult :: (Nat, Nat) \rightarrow Nat

mult (In ZeroF, n) = In ZeroF

mult (In (SuccF r), n) = mult (r, n)

(|+|) :: c \rightarrow (b \rightarrow c) \rightarrow NatF b \rightarrow c

f |+|g = \lambda x \rightarrow case x of

ZeroF \rightarrow f

SuccF r \rightarrow g r

fact :: Nat \rightarrow Nat

fact = para (succ' zero' |+| (mult \circ (id > < succ')))
```

The *apomorphism* is the primitive corecursion scheme. It is a generalization of the anamorphism and the dual of the paramorphism.

```
\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{apo}:: \mathsf{Functor} \ f \Rightarrow (\mathsf{a} \to \mathsf{f} \ (\mathsf{Either} \ \mathsf{a} \ (\mathsf{Fix} \ f))) \to \mathsf{a} \to \mathsf{Fix} \ \mathsf{f} \\ \mathsf{apo} \ \mathsf{f} = \mathsf{In} \circ \mathsf{fmap} \ (\mathsf{apo} \ \mathsf{f} \ || \ \mathsf{id}) \circ \mathsf{f} \\ \text{-- Alternative} \\ \mathsf{apo} :: \mathsf{Functor} \ \mathsf{f} \Rightarrow (\mathsf{a} \to \mathsf{f} \ (\mathsf{Either} \ \mathsf{a} \ (\mathsf{Fix} \ f))) \to \mathsf{a} \to \mathsf{Fix} \ \mathsf{f} \\ \mathsf{apo} \ \mathsf{f} = \mathsf{ana} \ (\mathsf{f} \ || \ (\mathsf{fmap} \ \mathsf{Right} \circ \mathsf{out})) \circ \mathsf{Left} \\ \mathsf{append} :: \mathsf{List} \ \mathsf{a} \to \mathsf{List} \ \mathsf{a} \to \mathsf{List} \ \mathsf{a} \\ \mathsf{append} \ \mathsf{I} = \mathsf{apo} \ (((\mathsf{fmap} \ \mathsf{Right} \ (\mathsf{out} \ \mathsf{I}))) \mid - \mid \mathsf{ConsF}) \circ \mathsf{fmap} \ \mathsf{Left} \circ \mathsf{out}) \end{array}
```

3. (3) For this question, refer to the paper "Data Types à la Carte" and/or the presentation by Wout Elsinghorst.

We wish to distinguish input and output 10 operations by type. For this exercise, we want to define everything necessary for the following two functions:

```
getLine :: (Input : <: f) \Rightarrow Term f String
getLine = inject (GetLine Pure)
putStrLn :: (Output : <: f) \Rightarrow String \rightarrow Term f ()
putStrLn s = inject (PutStrLn s (Pure ()))
```

Then, we can write IO functions that use only getLine or only putStrLn or a combination of both, as in the following example:

```
prompt :: Term (Input :+: Output) ()
prompt = do
    s ← getLine
    putStrLn ("You wrote: "++s)
test_prompt :: IO ()
test_prompt = exec prompt
```

Define the datatypes Input and Output and a minimal "à la carte" library that implements the necessary functionality. Be sure that all code is included and that the above code works.

Solution. The datatypes follow:

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{data Input} & r = GetLine \ (String \rightarrow r) \\ \mbox{data Output} \ r = PutStrLn \ String \ r \end{array}$ 

They require the following instances:

```
instance Functor Input where

fmap f (GetLine g) = GetLine (f \circ g)

instance Functor Output where

fmap f (PutStrLn s m) = PutStrLn s (f m)

instance Exec Input where

execAlgebra (GetLine f) = Prelude.getLine \gg f

instance Exec Output where

execAlgebra (PutStrLn s io) = Prelude.putStrLn s \gg io
```

The rest of the library is mostly from the paper:

data (f := g) = lnl (f e) | lnr (g e)**instance** (Functor f, Functor g)  $\Rightarrow$  Functor (f :+: g) where fmap f (InI x) = InI (fmap f x)fmap f (Inr x) = Inr (fmap f x)class (Functor sub, Functor sup)  $\Rightarrow$  sub:<: sup where inj :: sub a  $\rightarrow$  sup a **instance** Functor  $f \Rightarrow f:<:f$  where inj = id**instance** (Functor f, Functor g)  $\Rightarrow$  f :<: (f :+: g) where inj = InI**instance** (Functor f, Functor g, Functor h, f:<: g)  $\Rightarrow$  f:<: (h:+: g) where  $inj = Inr \circ inj$ **data** Term f a = Pure a | Impure (f (Term f a))**instance** Functor  $f \Rightarrow$  Functor (Term f) where fmap f (Pure x) = Pure (f x) fmap f (Impure t) = Impure (fmap (fmap f) t) **instance** Functor  $f \Rightarrow Monad$  (Term f) where return = PurePure x  $\gg f = f x$ Impure t  $\gg f =$  Impure (fmap ( $\gg f$ ) t) inject ::  $(g:<:f) \Rightarrow g$  (Term f a)  $\rightarrow$  Term f a  $inject = Impure \circ inj$ foldTerm :: Functor f  $\Rightarrow$  (a  $\rightarrow$  b)  $\rightarrow$  (f b  $\rightarrow$  b)  $\rightarrow$  Term f a  $\rightarrow$  b foldTerm pure imp (Pure x) = pure x foldTerm pure imp (Impure t) = imp (fmap (foldTerm pure imp) t) class Functor  $f \Rightarrow Exec f$  where execAlgebra :: f (IO a)  $\rightarrow$  IO a -- This instance is omitted from the paper. **instance** (Exec f, Exec g)  $\Rightarrow$  Exec (f :+: g) where execAlgebra (Inl x) = execAlgebra x execAlgebra (Inr x) = execAlgebra x  $\mathsf{exec} :: \mathsf{Exec} \ \mathsf{f} \Rightarrow \mathsf{Term} \ \mathsf{f} \ \mathsf{a} \to \mathsf{IO} \ \mathsf{a}$ exec = foldTerm return execAlgebra