

Universiteit Utrecht

[Faculty of Science Information and Computing Sciences]

Compiler Construction

WWW: http://www.cs.uu.nl/wiki/Cco

Edition 2010/2011

Agenda

Type inference

Untyped lambda-calculus

Simply typed lambda-calculus

System F

Hindley-Milner typing

Algorithm W

Universiteit Utrecht

2

[Faculty of Science Information and Computing Sciences]

KO KK@ KKE KKE K E 1090

8. Type inference

8.1 Untyped lambda-calculus

[Faculty of Science Information and Computing Sciences] □▶ (日) (대) (대) (대) 이 대 등이 되어 있다.

4

Universiteit Utrecht

Lambda-calculus in the set of th

Syntax §8.1

- \triangleright Church, Kleene (1930s).
- \blacktriangleright Formal system designed to investigate function definition, function application, and recursion.
- \blacktriangleright Idealised, minimalistic functional programming language.
- \triangleright Only three language constructs: variables, lambda-abstraction, function application. (Other constructs can be encoded with these.)

5

7

[Faculty of Science Information and Computing Sciences] **KOXKAYKEXKEX E VOQO**

Alpha-equivalence and beta-substitution §8.1

 λ is a *binder*: λx . t_1 binds x in t_1 . Unbound variables are called *free*.

Alpha-equivalence: terms that only differ in the names of their bound variables are considered equal. For example: $\lambda x. x$ and $\lambda y. y$ are alpha-equivalent.

Alpha-conversion: consistently renaming bound variables while avoiding free variables from being *captured*. For example: $\lambda f \cdot \lambda x \cdot f x z$ can be alpha-converted into λf . λy . f y z, but not into λf . λz . f z z.

Beta-substitution: capture-avoiding substitution of free variables, performing alpha-conversion where necessary. For example: $[z \mapsto y](\lambda f, \lambda x. f x z) = \lambda f, \lambda x. f x y$ and $[z \mapsto x](\lambda f, \lambda y, f y x).$

 \in Var variables
 \in Tm terms terms $\therefore = x \mid \lambda x. t_1 \mid t_1 t_2$ [Faculty of Science **Universiteit Utrecht** Information and Computing Sciences] 6 **KOD KAP KED KED E VAA Semantics** §8.1 $v \in$ Val values v ::= $\lambda x. t$ Big-step operational semantics: $t \Downarrow v$ evaluation [*e-lam*] $\overline{\lambda x. t_1 \Downarrow \lambda x. t_1}$ $t_1 \Downarrow \lambda x. t_{11} \quad t_2 \Downarrow v_2 \quad [x \mapsto v_2] t_{11} \Downarrow v$ [*e-app*] t_1 $t_2 \Downarrow v$ For example: $(\lambda x.\lambda y.x)(\lambda x.x)(\lambda x.\lambda y.y) \Downarrow \lambda x.x$.

Universiteit Utrecht

[Faculty of Science Information and Computing Sciences] **KORK EXTER E VOOR**

8

Universiteit Utrecht

LED LED LED LED LED

Information and Computing Sciences]

[Faculty of Science

Derived constructs $§8.1$

Additional language constructs—such as local definitions, natural numbers, boolean constants, conditionals, arithmetic and relational operators, and even recursion—can be introduced as mere syntactic sugar.

For example:

let $x = t_1$ in t_2 ni =def $(\lambda x. t_2) t_1$

In the sequel, we will just assume that some of these additional constructs are in fact added to the core calculus, so that we can use for example natural numbers in our example.

9

[Faculty of Science Information and Computing Sciences] K □ ▶ K @ ▶ K 할 > K 할 > N 할 > K 이익어

Simple types Simple types Simple types Simple types Simple types Simple 100 and Simple 100

To study typing for the lambda-calculus, we extend the syntax of lambda-terms with mandatory type annotations for lambda-abstractions.

For example:

 $\lambda x : Nat. x$

 $(\lambda f : Bool \rightarrow Nat. \lambda x : Bool. f x) (\lambda y : Bool. 42)$

8.2 Simply typed lambda-calculus

Universiteit Utrecht

[Faculty of Science Information and Computing Sciences] **Kロメ K団 X K ミメ K ミ X ミ Y の Q (V)**

Syntax §8.2

10

To render the sets of types inhabited, we add type constants such as Nat and Bool.

[Faculty of Science Information and Computing Sciences] **YO A F YE H YE H YOUR**

Type environments map from variables to types: ϵ TyEnv type environments $\overline{C} := \prod \prod_{i=1}^{n} x \mapsto \tau$ As always, we write $\Gamma(x) = \tau$ if the rightmost binding for x in Γ maps x to $τ$. The judgements of the typing relation read $\Gamma \vdash t : \tau$ typing [Faculty of Science **Universiteit Utrecht** Information and Computing Sciences] **Kロメ K団 X K ミメ K ミメーミー の Q Q Erasure** §8.2 Writing $|t|$ for the untyped lambda-term obtained from erasing all type annotations from the simply typed lambda-term t , we have: if $t \Downarrow v$, then $|t| \Downarrow |v|$.

That is, types play no rôle at run-time.

16

KO ▶ K @ ▶ K D ▶ K D ▶ X D ★ D A Q &

20

KO ▶ K @ ▶ K D ▶ K D ▶ X D ★ D A Q &

24

K □ ▶ K @ ▶ K ミ ▶ K ミ ▶ - ミ - K Q Q Q

8.4 Hindley-Milner typing

Universiteit Utrecht

[Faculty of Science Information and Computing Sciences] R $\mathcal{A} \equiv \mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \mathcal{A} \equiv \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \mathcal{A} \equiv \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \equiv \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \mathcal{$

The Hindley-Milner system EXEC 10 38.4

The Hindley-Milner (Hindley, 1969; Milner, 1978) type system is a compromise between the full power of System F and the desire to leave out type annotations.

Hindley-Milner typing comes with two crucial restrictions:

- 1. All types are of at most rank 1, i.e., functions cannot take polymorphic functions as arguments.
- 2. Functions can only have a polymorphic type if they are directly bound in a local definition (let-polymorphism).

The resulting type system is at the heart of languages like Haskell and ML and allows that for each well-typed term a so-called principal (i.e., most polymorphic) type can be inferred.

Type inference $\begin{array}{ccc} 8.4 & 8.4 \end{array}$

For both the simply typed lambda-calculus and System F, implementing a type checker is straightforward. (Exercise: ...)

Although programming languages based on System F are very powerful, writing type annotation on every function parameter is very tedious—especially if types become more involved due to polymorphism.

So, the question is: can we derive an algorithm that takes an erased System-F term as argument and that *infers* all missing type annotations? That way, we can have the full power for System F, without the burden of having to write possibly complex or otherwise tiresome type annotations.

The anwer is **no**, type inference for System F is undecidable (Wells, 1994).

Syntax §8.4

26

28

- $x \in \text{Var}$ term variables
 $t \in \text{Im}$ terms terms
- $t := x \mid \lambda x. t_1 \mid t_1 t_2 \mid \text{let } x = t_1 \text{ in } t_2 \text{ ni}$
- \mathbb{R} Local definitions play a crucial rôle in typing now and so, rather than syntactic sugar, they form a true language construct now.

35

Algorithm W §8.5

Challenges §8.5

Algorithm W (Damas and Milner, 1982) establishes a procedure for obtaining a principal type, for each well-typed term in the Hindley-Milner system.

Intuitively, a principal type is the most polymorphic type that can be assigned to a given term.

[Faculty of Science Information and Computing Sciences] K □ ▶ K @ ▶ K 할 > K 할 > N 할 > K 이익어

Strategy §8.5

37

 \blacktriangleright Algorithm W proceeds by initially "guessing" a fresh type variable for every parameter type and by incrementally refining theses guesses as more information on the use of parameters becomes available.

 \blacktriangleright Algorithm W uses a syntax-directed variation of the Hindley-Milner type rules in which generalisation only occurs at let-bindings and instantiation only occurs at the use-sites of variables.

KD. **Syntax-directed:** for every term, at most one rule applies.

- \triangleright We have to somehow "guess", for every lambda-abstraction, what the type of its formal parameter is.
- ▶ The rules [*t-gen*] and [*t-inst*] can be applied to terms of any form. We have to decide when to apply them.

Universiteit Utrecht

38

40

[Faculty of Science Information and Computing Sciences] **KOD KAP KED KED E VAA**

Generalisation and instantiation EXECUTE: 68.5

The syntax-directed type rules are defined in terms of metaoperations *gen*· and *inst*:

 $\textit{gen}: \textbf{TyEnv} \rightarrow \textbf{Ty} \rightarrow \textbf{TyScheme}$ $\textit{gen}_{\Gamma}(\tau) =$ *let* $\{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n\} = \mathit{ftv}(\tau) \backslash \mathit{ftv}(\Gamma)$ *in* $\forall \alpha_1$. $\cdots \forall \alpha_n$. τ

[Faculty of Science Information and Computing Sciences] **KORK EXTERICATES**

Universiteit Utrecht

Syntax-directed type rules Syntax-directed type rules EXECUTE: $§8.5$

 $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \tau_1 \quad \Gamma[x \mapsto \textit{gen}_{\Gamma}(\tau_1)] \vdash t_2 : \tau$ $\Gamma \vdash \textbf{let } x = t_1 \textbf{ in } t_2 \textbf{ ni : } \tau$ [*t-let*]

All judgements have the form $\Gamma \vdash t : \tau$ (rather than $\Gamma \vdash$ rð. $t : \sigma$). [Faculty of Science Universiteit Utrecht Information and Computing Sciences] **KORK EXKEY E DAR**

Applying type substitutions EXECUTE: 88.5

42

44

A O D A G D A B D A B D A G D A G A G A B D A G A G A G A B D A G A G A G A G A G A G A G A G A B D

Applying a type substitution to a type scheme:

```
i d\sigma = \sigma[\alpha \mapsto \tau_0] \alpha = \tau_0[\alpha \mapsto \tau_0] \alpha_0 = \alpha_0 if \alpha \not\equiv \alpha_0[\alpha \mapsto \tau_0] (\tau_1 \to \tau_2) = [\alpha \mapsto \tau_0] \tau_1 \to [\alpha \mapsto \tau_0] \tau_2[\alpha \mapsto \tau_0] (\forall \alpha, \sigma_1) = \forall \alpha, \sigma[\alpha \mapsto \tau_0] (\forall \alpha_0, \sigma_1) = \forall \alpha_0 \cdot [\alpha \mapsto \tau_0] \sigma_1 if \alpha \not\equiv \alpha_0(\theta_1 \circ \theta_2)\sigma = \theta_1 \theta_2 \sigma
```
Applying a type substitution to a type environment:

 θ [] $=$ [] $\theta(\Gamma_1[x \mapsto \sigma]) = \theta \Gamma_1[x \mapsto \theta \sigma]$ [Faculty of Science Universiteit Utrecht Information and Computing Sciences] **Type substitutions** $§8.5$

Algorithm W makes use of type substitutions:

Algorithm W makes use of Robinson's unification algorithm (1965).

 $U : Ty \times Ty \rightarrow TySubst$

U provides a partial function that, for any two types τ_1 and τ2, constructs a *most general unifier*, i.e., a type substitution θ , such that $\theta\tau_1=\theta\tau_2$ and, for all θ' with $\theta'\tau_1=\theta'\tau_2$ there is a θ'' with $\theta' \approx \theta'' \circ \theta$. (Where $\theta_1 \approx \theta_2$ iff, $\theta_1 \sigma = \theta_2 \sigma$ for all σ .)

If τ_1 and τ_2 are not unifiable, U fails.

For example:

 $U(\alpha \to \text{Bool} \to \alpha$, $Nat \to \beta \to \gamma)$ $=[\gamma \mapsto Nat] \circ [\beta \mapsto Bool] \circ [\alpha \mapsto Nat]$

Universiteit Utrecht

Unification (cont'd) SALL SECURE 2018 12:25 SALL SECURE 2019 12:35 SALL SECURE 2019 12:35 SALL SECURE 2019 13:35

$U(\alpha, \alpha)$ = **id** $U(\alpha_1, \tau_2)$ = $[\alpha_1 \mapsto \tau_2]$ if $\alpha_1 \notin \mathit{ftv}(\tau_2)$ $U(\tau_1, \alpha_2)$ = $[\alpha_2 \mapsto \tau_1]$ if $\alpha_2 \notin \mathit{ftv}(\tau_1)$ $U(\tau_{11} \to \tau_{12}, \tau_{21} \to \tau_{22}) =$ **let** $\theta_1 = U(\tau_{11}, \tau_{21})$ $\theta_2 = \mathcal{U}(\theta_1 \tau_{12}, \theta_1 \tau_{22})$ *in* $\theta_2 \circ \theta_1$
= *fail* $U(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ $=$ *fail* in all other cases

The side conditions $\alpha_1 \notin \text{ftv}(\tau_2)$ **and** $\alpha_2 \notin \text{ftv}(\tau_2)$ **are** known as the "occurs check" and prevent the construction of infinite types.

Algorithm W: variables Biggstand Strategies §8.5

 $W(\Gamma, x) =$ *if* $x \in \text{dom}(\Gamma)$ *then* (*inst* $(\Gamma(x))$, *id*) *if fail*

Algorithm W §8.5

$W:$ TyEnv \times Tm \rightarrow Ty \times TySubst

Algorithm W takes a type environment Γ and a term t, and produces, if t is well-typed in Γ, a type τ and a type substitution θ , such that $\theta \Gamma \vdash t : \textit{gen}_{\theta \Gamma}(\tau)$. Moreover, $\textit{gen}_{\theta\Gamma}(\tau)$ is then a principal type for t in $\theta\Gamma.$

If t is ill-typed in Γ , Algorithm W fails.

 $W(\Gamma, \lambda x. t_1) =$ *let* α_1 *be fresh* $(\tau_2, \theta_1) = \mathcal{W}(\Gamma[x \mapsto \alpha_1], t_1)$ *in* $(\theta_1 \alpha_1 \rightarrow \tau_2, \theta_1)$

[Faculty of Science **Information and Computing Sciences**

 \equiv \rightarrow \equiv \sim \sim

48

