# Data Mining 2013 Frequent Pattern Mining (2)

#### Ad Feelders

Universiteit Utrecht

October 11, 2013

3

(日) (周) (三) (三)

- Frequent Item Set Mining
- Sequence Mining
- Tree Mining
- Graph Mining

3

(日) (周) (三) (三)

#### Definition (Node Labeled Graph)

A node labeled graph is a quadruple  $G = (V, E, \Sigma, L)$  where:

- V is the set of nodes,
- *E* is the set of edges,
- $\odot$   $\Sigma$  is a set of labels, and
- L: V → Σ is a labeling function that assigns labels from Σ to nodes in V.

#### Definition (Labeled Rooted Unordered Tree)

A labeled rooted unordered tree  $U = (V, E, \Sigma, L, v^r)$  is an acyclic undirected connected graph  $G = (V, E, \Sigma, L)$  with a special node  $v^r$  called the root of the tree such that there exists exactly one path between the root node and any other node in V.

イロト イヨト イヨト

#### Definition (Labeled Rooted Ordered Tree)

A labeled rooted ordered tree  $T = (V, E, \Sigma, L, v^r, \leq)$  is an unordered tree  $U = (V, E, \Sigma, L, v^r)$  where between all the siblings an order  $\leq$  is defined. To every node in an ordered tree a preorder (pre(v)) number is assigned according to the depth-first (or preorder) traversal of the tree.

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日

### Node Numbering according to Preorder Traversal



3

(日) (同) (三) (三)

### Tree Inclusion Relations

- Bottom-up subtree.
- Induced subtree.
- Imbedded subtree.

3. 3

• • • • • • • • • • • •

Let  $\pi(v)$  denote the parent of node v.

#### Definition (Induced Subtree)

Given two ordered trees D and T, we call T an induced subtree of D if there exists an injective matching function  $\phi$  of  $V_T$  into  $V_D$  satisfying the following conditions:

•  $\phi$  preserves the labels:  $L_T(v) = L_D(\phi(v))$ .

• 
$$\phi$$
 preserves the parent-child relation:  
 $v_i = \pi_T(v_j) \Leftrightarrow \phi(v_i) = \pi_D(\phi(v_j)).$ 

**③**  $\phi$  preserves the left to right order between the nodes: pre( $v_i$ ) < pre( $v_j$ ) ⇔ pre( $\phi(v_i)$ )) < pre( $\phi(v_j)$ ).

An induced subtree T can be obtained from a tree D by repeatedly removing leaf nodes, or possibly the root node if it has only one child.

(日) (周) (三) (三)

## Induced Subtree



#### Matching function

- $\phi(v_1) = w_7$ •  $\phi(v_2) = w_8$
- $\phi(v_2) = w_{10}$

Verify that

$$L_T(v_1) = L_D(w_7) = A$$

2 
$$L_T(v_2) = L_D(w_8) = A$$

$$L_T(v_3) = L_D(w_{10}) = B$$

Likewise, we can verify that the other conditions are met, so T is an induced subtree of D.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

#### Let $\pi^*(v)$ denote the set of ancestors of v.

#### Definition (Embedded Subtree)

Given two ordered trees D and T, we call T an embedded subtree of D if there exists an injective matching function  $\phi$  of  $V_T$  into  $V_D$  satisfying the following conditions:

- $\phi$  preserves the labels:  $L_T(v) = L_D(\phi(v))$ .
- **②**  $\phi$  preserves the ancestor-descendant relation:  $v_i \in \{\pi_T^*(v_j)\} \Leftrightarrow \phi(v_i) \in \{\pi_D^*(\phi(v_j))\}.$
- $\phi$  preserves the left to right order between the nodes:  $pre(v_i) < pre(v_j) \Leftrightarrow pre(\phi(v_i))) < pre(\phi(v_j))$ .

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

## Embedded Subtree



Given a database of trees  $D = \{d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n\}$  and a tree inclusion relation  $\leq$ , we define the support of a tree T as

$$\operatorname{supp}(T,D) = rac{|\{d \in D \mid T \preceq d\}|}{|D|}$$

Given a minimum support threshold  $\sigma$ , compute

$$\mathcal{F}(\sigma, D, \preceq) = \{ T \mid \mathsf{supp}(T, D) \geq \sigma \}$$

Given a database of trees D, and two trees  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ , then

$$T_1 \preceq T_2 \Rightarrow \operatorname{supp}(T_1, D) \ge \operatorname{supp}(T_2, D),$$

because  $\forall d \in D : T_2 \leq d \Rightarrow T_1 \leq d$ .

Hence, in a level-wise search for frequent trees, there is no point in expanding infrequent trees.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

### Mining Frequent Induced Trees with FREQT

We must address two basic issues:

- Generate candidate frequent trees: add a single node with a frequent label to a frequent tree. This is done by so-called *right-most extension*.
- Record the occurrences of the candidate trees in the data trees, and determine whether they are frequent.

Let  $T_k$  denote a tree of size k (a tree with k nodes).

- Consider the node numbering of T<sub>k</sub> according to pre-order (depth-first) traversal of the tree.
- The right-most branch of the tree is the path from the root node to the right-most leaf (i.e. the node with number k).
- To expand the tree  $T_k$ , it is only allowed to add a node as the right-most child of a node on the right-most branch of  $T_k$ . This node gets number k + 1, as it is the last node in the pre-order traversal of  $T_{k+1}$ .

・ロト ・聞 ト ・ 国 ト ・ 国 ト … 国

## Right-most Extension with label set $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$



The right-most extension technique generates each tree at most once.

Consider any tree  $T_{k+1}$ . This tree only has one predecessor (in the generation sequence), namely the tree  $T_k$  that is obtained by removing the right-most leaf of  $T_{k+1}$  (i.e. the node with number k + 1 in the pre-order traversal).

Also, the right-most expansion technique generates every possible tree, so each tree is generated exactly once.

イロト (過) (ヨ) (ヨ) (ヨ) ヨー ののの

- For counting the frequency of a pattern tree an occurrence list is maintained that contains the list of nodes in the data tree to which the nodes in the pattern tree can be mapped.
- FREQT only stores the nodes of the data tree to which the right-most node in the pattern tree can be mapped.
- This is sufficient since only the nodes on the right-most branch are needed for future extension.

#### Right-most Occurrence List





Consider the following database of labeled ordered trees:



Find all frequent induced subtrees with support at least 3.

3

Image: A math a math

At level 1 we have the following three candidates:

 $^{1}@ ^{2}@ ^{3}©$ 

The right-most occurrence lists are:

	(1)	(2)	(3)
$d_1$	(1,3)	(2)	—
<i>d</i> <sub>2</sub>	(2,3)	(1,4)	—
<i>d</i> <sub>3</sub>	(1,2,4)	(3)	—
$d_4$	(1,2)	(3,4)	(5)
d <sub>5</sub>	(1,3,4)	(2,5)	_
Support	5	5	1
Frequent?	Y	Y	Ν

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日

At level 2 we have the following candidates:



The RMO-lists are:

	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
$d_1$	(3)	(2)	_	_
<i>d</i> <sub>2</sub>	—	(4)	(2,3)	—
<i>d</i> <sub>3</sub>	(2)	(3)	(4)	—
$d_4$	(2)	(3,4)	_	—
d <sub>5</sub>	(3,4)	(2,5)	—	_
Support	4	5	2	0
Frequent?	Y	Y	Ν	Ν

3

The level 3 candidates are:



#### The RMO-lists are:

	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)
$d_1$	_	_	_	_	_	_	(3)	_
$d_2$	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
<i>d</i> <sub>3</sub>	_	(4)	_	_	_	(3)	_	(4)
$d_4$	_	—	_	_	—	(3,4)	_	_
$d_5$	(4)	_	(5)	_	_	(5)	(3)	_
Support	1	1	1	0	0	3	2	1
Frequent?	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν

э

A B A B A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

The level 4 candidates are:



The RMO-lists are:

	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)
$d_1$	—	_	_	_
$d_2$	—	_	_	_
<i>d</i> <sub>3</sub>	(4)	—	—	—
$d_4$	_	_	—	(4)
$d_5$	_	_	_	—
Support	1	0	0	1
Frequent?	N	Ν	Ν	Ν
			1	

### Applications of frequent tree mining

- Mining usage patterns in Web logs.
- Mining frequent query patterns from XML queries.
- Classification of XML documents according to subtree structures.

...

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Mining data from web server log files to:

- Study customer behavior.
- Better organize web pages.

3

(日) (周) (三) (三)

- LOGML is a publicly available XML application to describe log reports of web servers.
- LOGML provides an XML vocabulary to structurally express the contents of the log file in a compact manner.
- LOGML documents have three parts
  - A web graph induced by the source-target pairs in the raw logs.
  - 2 A summary of statistics.
  - A list of user sessions (subgraphs of the web graph) extracted from the logs.

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

Each user session has a session id (IP or host name), a list of edges (uedges) giving source and target node pairs, and the time (utime) when a link is traversed. Example user session:

```
<userSession name="ppp0-69.ank2.isbank.net.tr" ...>
<uedge source="5938" target="16470" utime="7:53:46"/>
<uedge source="16470" target="24754" utime="7:56:13"/>
<uedge source="16470" target="24755" utime="7:56:6"/>
<uedge source="24755" target="47387" utime="7:57:14"/>
<uedge source="24755" target="47397" utime="7:57:28"/>
<uedge source="16470" target="24756" utime="7:58:30"/>
```

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

#### Tree representation of example user session



	I have a second as the little second as	
	1 1111/01511011 11110/11	
		•

3

・ロン ・四 ・ ・ ヨン ・ ヨン

One day's logs from CS web site (Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute). The pattern refers to a popular Turkish poetry site maintained by one of the department members.

```
Let Path=http://www.cs.rpi.edu/~name/poetry
Let Akova = Path/poems/akgun_akova
FREQUENCY=59, NODES = 5938 16395 38699 -1 38698 -1 38700
Path/sair_listesi.html
|
Path/poems/akgun_akova/index.html
/
Akova/picture.html Akova/contents.html Akova/biyografi.html
```

- As XML prevails over the internet, the efficient retrieval of XML data becomes more important.
- Research to improve query response times has largely concentrated on indexing XML documents and processing regular path expressions.
- Another approach is to discover frequent query patterns since the answers to those queries can be stored and indexed.

Given an XML data source and the history of XML queries  $\{q_1, \ldots, q_N\}$  issued against it, transform them into a corresponding history of query pattern trees  $D = \{QPT_1, \ldots, QPT_N\}$ .

Mining frequent query patterns is equivalent to finding the rooted subtrees that occur frequently over the set of pattern trees D.

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日

### **Document Type Definition**



Figure 1. Book DTD Tree.

The purpose of a DTD (Document Type Definition) is to define the legal building blocks of an XML document.

Ad Feelders (Universiteit Utrecht)

Data Mining

October 11, 2013 34 / 40

Image: A matrix and a matrix

## A query and its corresponding query pattern tree

Q1: for \$b in document(book.xml) /book where some \$a in \$b/author satisfies \$a/lastname/data()="Buneman" return <result> <book>{\$b/title, \$b/author, \$b/price}<book> </result>

We extract the following information from  $Q_1$ :

- resultpattern={/book/author, /book/title, book/price}
- predicates={/book/author/lastname/data()="Buneman"}
- o documents={book.html}

To construct a query pattern tree we:

- Extract the paths from the set *predicates* by ignoring the selection conditions.
- Combine these extracted path expressions with the paths in the set *resultpattern* to generate the query pattern tree.
- Exactly *how* they are combined is unfortunately not clear from the source article!



### Example frequent rooted subtree



### Figure 3. Database of Query Pattern Trees and a Frequent Rooted Subtree.

Image: A match a ma

### **Special Labels**



Figure 4. Example of Pattern Tree Containment.

Image: A match a ma

Frequent pattern mining can also be used to extract features for classification tasks:

- Find frequent patterns per class.
- Offine *discriminating* patterns, for example, as patterns that are frequent in one class but not in the other.
- Use the presence/absence of such a discriminating pattern as a (binary) feature for constructing a classifier (e.g. classification tree!).

### Frequent Pattern Mining and Classification



**Fig. 4.** A decision tree as produced by the  $TREE^2$  algorithm

Image: A match a ma