

# Lightweight Implementation of Generics and Dynamics

Johan Jeuring

Utrecht University

17 February, 2014

# Libraries for Generic Programming in Haskell

- Haskell is powerful enough to support most generic programming concepts by means of a library.
- Compared with a language extension (PolyP, Generic Haskell), a library is much easier to ship, support, and maintain.
- Compared with a preprocessing tool like DrIFT or Template Haskell, a library gives you much more support, such as types. Of course, a library might be accompanied by tools.

# GP Libraries in Haskell (1)

- Lightweight Implementation of Generics and Dynamics (2002)
- Strafunski (2002)
- Scrap Your Boilerplate (SYB) (2003,2004,2005)
- Prototyping Generic Programming using Template Haskell (2004)
- Generics for the Masses (2004)
- SYB Reloaded, Revolutions (2006)
- Generic programming, now! (2006)
- RepLib (2006)
- Smash Your Boilerplate (2006)
- Almost Compositional Functions (2006)
- Extensible and Modular Generics for the Masses (2006)
- Uniplate (2007)

# GP Libraries in Haskell (2)

- Alloy (2008)
- Instant Generics (2009)
- Multirec (2009)
- Regular (2009)
- Pointless Haskell (2010)
- Generic Deriving (2010)
- Multiplate (2010)
- Yoko (2012)
- Shapely-data (2013)
- ...

# Essential Concepts In Libraries

There are three essential concepts related to generic programming which we will discuss for each library:

- Run-time type representation
- Generic view on data
- Support for overloading

# Equality

Equality is a classic generic programming example.

```

eqString :: String → String → Bool
eqString []      []      = True
eqString []      _      = False
eqString _      []      = False
eqString (a : as) (b : bs) = a ≡ b ∧ eqString as bs
  
```

The algorithm is simple:

- Check whether two values are in the same alternative.
- If not, they are not equal.
- Otherwise, they are equal if all arguments are equal.

# LIGD

## A **Lightweight Implementation of Generics and Dynamics** (“LIGD”):

- An approach to embedding generic functions and dynamic values into Haskell 98 augmented with existential types.
- Reflect the type argument onto the value level so we can do ordinary pattern matching on types.
- Developed by James Cheney and Ralf Hinze.
- We describe a variant of LIGD that uses GADTs.
- We do not discuss the “dynamics” feature of the library.

# Example: Equality

<code>geq</code>	:: Rep a →	a →	a →	Bool
<code>geq</code>	RUnit	Unit	Unit	= True
<code>geq</code>	RInt	i	j	= i ≡ j
<code>geq</code>	RChar	c	d	= c ≡ d
<code>geq</code>	(RSum r_a _)	(L a_1)	(L a_2)	= geq r_a a_1 a_2
<code>geq</code>	(RSum _ r_b)	(R b_1)	(R b_2)	= geq r_b b_1 b_2
<code>geq</code>	(RSum _ _)	_	_	= False
<code>geq</code>	(RProd r_a r_b)	(a_1 :×: b_1)	(a_2 :×: b_2)	= geq r_a a_1 a_2 ∧ geq r_b b_1 b_2

This is called a **type-indexed function**.



# Structure types

These types represent some of the basic structural elements of datatypes.

## Question

What are equivalent *standard* types?

**data** Unit = Unit

**data** () = ()

**data** a :+: b = L a | R b

**data** Either a b = Left a | Right b

**data** a :×: b = a :×: b

**data** (a, b) = (a, b)

# Run-Time Type Representation

LIGD uses a GADT for representing the structure of a type at run-time:

```
data Rep :: * → * where  
  RUnit ::                Rep Unit  
  RInt  ::                Rep Int  
  RChar ::                Rep Char  
  RSum  :: Rep a → Rep b → Rep (a :+: b)  
  RProd :: Rep a → Rep b → Rep (a :×: b)
```

`Rep t` is the type representation of `t`.

## Question

What purpose do the type indexes serve here?

# Going Generic: Universe Extension

The structure of a user-defined datatype `t` is represented by the following `Rep` constructor.

```
data Rep :: * → * where
  ...
  RType :: EP t r → Rep r → Rep t
```

The type `r` is the **structure representation** type of `t`, where `r` is a type isomorphic to `t`.

The **isomorphism** is witnessed by an **embedding projection pair**:

```
data EP t r = EP { from :: (t → r), to :: (r → t) }
```

# Structure of Lists (1)

The structure representation type of `List` :

```
data List a = Nil | Cons a (List a)
```

```
type Lists a = Unit :+: a :×: List a
```

We define two functions to transform between the Haskell type and its LIGD representation.

```
fromList :: List a → Lists a
```

```
toList :: Lists a → List a
```

```
fromList Nil = L Unit
```

```
toList (L Unit) = Nil
```

```
fromList (Cons a as) = R (a :×: as)
```

```
toList (R (a :×: as)) = Cons a as
```

## Structure of Lists (2)

We define an embedding-projection pair to implement the transformation between the Haskell type and its LIGD representation.

```
rList :: Rep a → Rep (List a)
rList r_a = RType (EP fromList toList)
              (RSum RUnit (RProd r_a (rList r_a)))
```

# Generic Equality

`geq` is turned into a generic function by adding the following case to its definition.

```
geq :: Rep a → a → a → Bool
```

```
...
```

```
geq (RType ep r_a) t1 t2 = geq r_a (from ep t1) (from ep t2)
```

# Notes on `geq`

- `geq` can be viewed as an implementation of **deriving** `Eq` in Haskell.
- Similarly, we can define functions that implement the methods of the other classes that can be derived in Haskell: `Show`, `Read`, `Ord`, `Enum`, and `Bounded`.

# Generic Show

We will implement a generic `show` function to illustrate how a library deals with:

- Constructor names
- Ad-hoc cases for particular datatypes: `"abc"` should not be printed as `Cons 'a' (Cons 'b' (Cons 'c' Nil))`



# Constructor Names

To deal with constructor names, we add a constructor to `Rep`.

```
data Rep :: * → * where
  ...
  RCon :: String → Rep a → Rep a
```

Using this constructor, the representation of `List` becomes:

```
rList :: Rep a → Rep (List a)
rList r_a = RType (EP fromList toList)
              (RSum (RCon "Nil" RUnit)
                  (RCon "Cons" (RProd r_a (rList r_a))))
```

# Generic Show: First Attempt

```

gshow :: Rep t → t → String
gshow RInt      t      = show t
gshow RChar     t      = show t
gshow RUnit     t      = ""
gshow (RSum r_a _) (L a) = gshow r_a a
gshow (RSum _ r_b) (R b) = gshow r_b b
gshow (RProd r_a r_b) (a ×: b) = gshow r_a a ++ " " ++ gshow r_b b
gshow (RType ep r_a) t      = gshow r_a (from ep t)
gshow (RCons s RUnit) t     = s
gshow (RCons s r_a)  t      = "(" ++ s ++ " " ++ gshow r_a t ++ ")"

```

## Question

What will `gp` look like?

```
gp = gshow (rList RChar) (Cons 'g' (Cons 'p' Nil))
```

# Support for Overloading (1)

- This definition shows strings and Haskell's lists using constructor names.
- We want `gshow` to use the standard Haskell `"string"` format instead.
- We want `gshow` to behave in a *specialized, non-generic* way for strings.
- Solution: Extend `Rep` with a case for strings:

```
data Rep :: * → * where  
  ...  
  RString :: Rep String
```

## Support for Overloading (2)

Now we can add the following line to the generic show function to obtain type-specific behavior for the type `String`.

```
gshow :: Rep t → t → String
...
gshow RString s = s
```

# Support for Overloading Is Weak

- We have to adapt the type representation type `Rep` to obtain type-specific behavior in the `gshow` function.
- It is undesirable to adapt a library for the purpose of obtaining special behavior of a single generic function on a particular datatype.
- Unfortunately, this is unavoidable in the LIGD library.
- This implies that many users will construct their own variant of the LIGD library, making both the library and the generic functions written using it less portable and reusable.

# Producer Function: Generic Empty

- Both `geq` and `gshow` are generic **consumer** functions. They take generic values as arguments and produce non-generic results.
- We can also define generic **producer** functions that do the reverse.
- Simple example: associate an “empty” value with every type.

```

gempty :: Rep a → a
gempty RUnit      = Unit
gempty RInt       = 0
gempty RChar      = '\NUL'
gempty (RSum r_a _) = L (gempty r_a)
gempty (RProd r_a r_b) = gempty r_a :×: gempty r_b
gempty (RType ep r_a) = to ep (gempty r_a)
gempty (RCon s r_a) = gempty r_a
  
```

# Generic Flatten (1)

- Many datatypes can be considered **container datatypes**: those used to store and structure values.
- Examples are the datatypes `List a`, `Maybe a`, etc.
- A function `flatten` takes a value of a container datatype and returns a list containing all values that it contains.
- `Data.Tree` has `flatten :: Tree a → [a]`.
- The `Prelude` has a related function for lists of lists, `concat :: [[a]] → [a]`.

# Generic Flatten (2)

## Question

What is the type of the generic flatten function?

Attempt 1:

$\text{gflatten} :: \text{Rep } g \rightarrow g \ a \rightarrow [a]$

Attempt 2:

$\text{gflatten} :: \text{Rep } (g \ a) \rightarrow g \ a \rightarrow [a]$

Attempt 3:

$\text{gflatten} :: \text{Rep1 } \dots \ a \rightarrow b \rightarrow [a]$



# A New Representation Type

```

data Rep1 :: (* → *) → * → * where
  RChar1  ::                Rep1 g Char
  RInt1   ::                Rep1 g Int
  RUnit1  ::                Rep1 g Unit
  RSum1   :: Rep1 g a → Rep1 g b → Rep1 g (a :+: b)
  RProd1  :: Rep1 g a → Rep1 g b → Rep1 g (a :×: b)
  RCon1   :: String → Rep1 g a →    Rep1 g a
  RType1  :: EP b a → Rep1 g a →    Rep1 g b
  RVar1   :: g a →                Rep1 g a

```

We added a new constructor `RVar1` for the type of the container elements.

# A New List Representation

```
rList1 :: Rep1 g a → Rep1 g (List a)
rList1 r_a = RType1 (EP fromList toList)
              (RSum1 RUnit1 (RProd1 r_a (rList1 r_a)))
```

# Defining GFlatten (1)

**newtype** GFlatten b a = GFlatten { selFlatten :: a → [b] }

```

gflatten' RUnit1          Unit    = []
gflatten' (RSum1 r_a _)  (L a)   = gflatten' r_a a
gflatten' (RSum1 _ r_b)  (R b)   = gflatten' r_b b
gflatten' (RProd1 r_a r_b) (a :×: b) = gflatten' r_a a ++ gflatten' r_b b
gflatten' RInt1          i        = []
gflatten' RChar1         c        = []
gflatten' (RCon1 _ r_a)  x        = gflatten' r_a x
gflatten' (RType1 ep r_a) x       = gflatten' r_a (from ep x)
gflatten' (RVar1 f)      x        = selFlatten f x

```

The type:

$\text{gflatten}' :: \text{Rep1 (GFlatten b) a} \rightarrow \text{a} \rightarrow [\text{b}]$

## Defining GFlatten (2)

To simplify the types, we define a type synonym:

```
type GFlattenRep a b = Rep1 (GFlatten b) a
```

```
gflatten' :: GFlattenRep a b → a → [b]
```

# Defining GFlatten (3)

We need to describe:

- ① A `GFlattenRep` for containers
- ② What to do with the elements of the container

Recall the representation for lists:

```
rList1 :: Rep1 g a → Rep1 g (List a)
```

We use a function as a representation for containers...

```
gflatten :: (GFlattenRep a a → GFlattenRep b c) → b → [c]
gflatten repContainer = gflatten' (repContainer repVar)
```

... and we tell it to insert every element into a singleton list.

```
where repVar :: GFlattenRep a a
      repVar = RVar1 (GFlatten (:[]))
```

# Defining GFlatten (4)

To obtain an instance of the generic function `gflatten'` on `List`, we write:

```
flattenList :: List a → [a]
flattenList = gflatten rList1
```

# Generic Map (1)

The generic map function `gmap`:

- A generalisation of the `map` on lists
- Takes a function of type `a → b` and a value of a datatype containing `a`-type elements and applies the function to all the elements in the value.
- `gmap` can be viewed as the implementation of **deriving** for the `Functor` type class in Haskell.
- As with `gflatten`, `gmap` needs to know where the occurrences of the type argument of the datatype appear in a constructor.

## Generic Map (2)

- Suppose we use the representation type `Rep1` to implement the generic map function.
- The action on variables then has type `g a → Rep1 g a`, with `g` instantiated to a **`newtype GMap`**.
- The argument function of `gmap` can only return a value of a type that depends on `a`, or a constant type, but *not* a value of a type `b`.
- To pass a function of type `a → b`, we need an extra type variable in the `RVar1` constructor.



# Another Type Representation

```

data Rep2 :: (* → * → *) → * → * → * where
  RChar2 ::                               Rep2 g Char Char
  RInt2   ::                               Rep2 g Int Int
  RUnit2  ::                               Rep2 g Unit Unit
  RSum2   :: Rep2 g a b → Rep2 g c d →     Rep2 g (a :+: c) (b :+: d)
  RProd2  :: Rep2 g a b → Rep2 g c d →     Rep2 g (a :×: c) (b :×: d)
  RCon2   :: String → Rep2 g a b →        Rep2 g a b
  RType2  :: EP b a → EP d c → Rep2 g a c → Rep2 g b d
  RVar2   :: g a b →                      Rep2 g a b

```

`RVar2` represents the two type variables.

# Another List Representation

```
rList2 :: Rep2 g a b → Rep2 g (List a) (List b)
rList2 r_a = RType2 (EP fromList toList)
              (EP fromList toList)
              (RSum2 RUnit2 (RProd2 r_a (rList2 r_a)))
```

# Defining GMap (1)

**newtype** GMap a b = GMap { selMap :: a → b }

**type** GMapRep a b = Rep2 GMap a b

gmap' :: GMapRep a b → a → b

gmap' RUnit2                    Unit     = Unit

gmap' (RSum2 r\_a \_)            (L a)   = L (gmap' r\_a a)

gmap' (RSum2 \_ r\_b)            (R b)   = R (gmap' r\_b b)

gmap' (RProd2 r\_a r\_b)        (a ×: b) = gmap' r\_a a ×: gmap' r\_b b

gmap' RInt2                    i        = i

gmap' RChar2                   c        = c

gmap' (RCon2 \_ r\_a)            x        = gmap' r\_a x

gmap' (RType2 ep<sub>1</sub> ep<sub>2</sub> r\_a) x        = (to ep<sub>2</sub> ∘ gmap' r\_a ∘ from ep<sub>1</sub>) x

gmap' (RVar2 f)                x        = selMap f x

## Defining GMap (2)

`gmap` is defined similarly to `gflatten`.

```
gmap :: (GMapRep a b → GMapRep c d) → (a → b) → c → d
gmap repContainer f = gmap' (repContainer repVar)
  where repVar = RVar2 (GMap f)
```

Unlike `gflatten`, we use a parameter for the function `f` on the elements.

# Defining GMap (3)

Recall the `List` representation:

```
rList2 :: Rep2 g a b → Rep2 g (List a) (List b)
```

with the instance:

```
rList2 :: GMapRep a b → GMapRep (List a) (List b)
```

To obtain an instance of `gmap` on the datatype `List a` we write:

```
gmapList :: (a → b) → List a → List b
```

```
gmapList = gmap rList2
```

# Notes on GMap

## Question

Do we need to introduce a new representation type for every generic function we define?

- For all practical purposes, it appears that we need at most 3 type variables.
- We could use the datatype `Rep3` for all of our generic functions, but that would introduce many type variables which are never used.

# Conclusions

We have covered:

- A library for generic programming in Haskell: LIGD
- The important aspects, strengths, and weaknesses of this library.

LIGD:

- Is just one of many libraries for generic programming.
- Uses one of a number of views on datatype structure.
- May be one of the easiest to implement and understand.
- May be one of the least modular and extensible.